

MAR 28 2005

TELEFACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
to
THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

TO:	Maria Marvich, Ph.D.	FROM:	ADAM J. CERMAK
FAX:	703.872.9306	REF. NO.:	US-1420
VOICE:		PAGES	4 (incl. this sheet)
App. No.:	09/897,988	DATE:	March 28, 2005

The undersigned hereby certifies that the following document(s) is (are) being transmitted by telefacsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at the above "FAX" number, on the above DATE:

- 1) This facsimile cover sheet (1 page)
- 2) Response to Notice of Non-compliant amendment (3 pages)


 Shelly Guest Cermak
 Registration Number 37,571

The information contained in this facsimile message may be privileged, confidential, and protected from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, any further disclosure or use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message or any attachment is strictly prohibited. If you think that you have received this transmission in error, please destroy it and notify the sender.

Shelly Guest Cermak
 Registered U.S. Patent Attorney
 cermaks@ajiusa.com

Ajinomoto USA, Inc.
 1120 Connecticut Ave.
 Ste.1010
 Washington D.C. 20036
 +1 202 457 0284 (v)
 +1 202 457 0107 (f)

MAR 28 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Nakai et al.

Application No.: 09/897,988

Filing Date: July 5, 2001

For: METHOD FOR PRODUCING
SUBSTANCE UTILIZING
MICROORGANISM

Art Unit: 1636

Examiner: Marvich

Attorney Ref. No.: US-1420

Via facsimile to 703 872 9306

Response to Notice of Non-compliant Amendment

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (hereinafter "Notice") mailed on March 22, 2005, Applicants hereby submit a timely response since the allegedly non-compliant amendment was in response to a non-final action.

Applicants hereby assert that the Notice was issued in error. Specifically, the Examiner's objection to the disclosure at page 19, line 1 for the misspelling of "cytochrome" is improper since the substitute specification filed January 9, 2003 containing the spelling error was never entered (see page 2 of the office action issued August 9, 2004), and therefore the spelling error of "cytochrome" was never entered, and was never actually a part of the specification.

More importantly, this spelling error did not exist in the original specification (see page 23, line 25 of the originally filed specification), i.e. "cytochrome" was spelled correctly. The substitute specification filed November 23, 2004 properly shows corrections

made relative to this original specification, hence the correction of the spelling error is properly NOT reflected.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request entry of the substitute specification and amendments previously submitted on November 23, 2004. Furthermore, Applicants respectfully request consideration of these amendments and arguments filed on November 23, 2004.